



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,146	11/25/2003	Takeo Iwama	1418.1005D	4308
21171 75	90 05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			LAUCHMAN, LAYLA G	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO			2877	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	<u></u>		-lnv
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/720,146	IWAMA, TAKEO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	L. G. Lauchman	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspond nce addr	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. I.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No. <u>09/483,938</u> . n received in this National St	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/25/2003.	8) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Art Unit: 2877

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, and 8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5, 18, 20, 21, 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,687,001. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims 1,5,18, 20, 21, and 22 anticipate the examined claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, and 8, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 3 and 4, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fujiyoshi (US 6,452,674).

Application/Control Number: 10/720,146

Art Unit: 2877

As to Claim 3, the patent teaches a device 16 changing the angle of a light, the optical spectrum analyzer (see Fig. 4) detecting a spectrum in accordance with the light having the changed angle, wherein the device 16 is controllable to control the angle (see col. 3, lines 35-67, and col. 4, lines 1-52).

As to Claim 4, the patent teaches a device 16 changing the angle of a light, the optical spectrum analyzer (see Fig. 4) detecting a spectrum in accordance with the light having the changed angle, and means 30 for controlling the device 16 to control the angle (see col. 3, lines 35-67, and col. 4, lines 1-52).

Claims 3 and 5, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Habosian (US 3,650,630).

The patent teaches an automatic spectrometer, comprising: a device (48,50) changing an angle of light, the device being controllable to control the angle; and a phothodevice array 20 for receiving the light having the changed angle, the device being controlled in accordance with the light as received by the photodevice to control the angle. (see col. 2, lines 23-75, col. 3, lines 1-15).

Conclusion

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2877 via the PTO Fax Center located in CP4-4C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

If the Applicant wishes to send a Fax dealing with either a Proposed Amendment or for discussion for a phone interview then the fax should:

- a) Contain either the statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the Fax Cover Sheet; and
 - b) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

Art Unit: 2877

This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L. G. Lauchman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2418.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

L. G. Lauchman Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2877

April 27, 2004